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## Abstract

The title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{Br}_{2}$, crystallized on a crystallographic mirror plane. There was disorder of the ${ }^{~} \mathrm{Bu}$ group with a major orientation at $59 \%$. Interplanar spacings are 3.509 (4) A.

## Comment

The title compound, (I), was structurally characterized in order to measure interplanar distances for studies aimed at assessing the forces of attraction in this molecule, and to make comparisons with other molecules such as anthracene (Lehmann \& Pawley, 1972) and 9,10dibromoanthracene (Kitaigorodski, 1946; Trotter, 1958, 1986; Bulgarovskaya et al., 1987) of a similar nature. We find that the interplanar spacing in (I) of 3.509 (4) $\AA$ is similar to that in 9,10 -dibromoanthracene of 3.52 (1) $\AA$ (Trotter, 1986). It is also interesting to note that the packing arrangement in 9,10 -dibromoanthracene, where the molecules are stacked on top of each other, is very different from that observed in (I). Clearly, the ${ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}$ group is responsible for these differences. Additionally, the closest $\mathrm{Br} \cdots \mathrm{Br}$ intermolecular distance of 4.004 (2) $\AA$ in (I) is smaller than that of 4.068 (1) $\AA$ in 9,10 -dibromoanthracene (Trotter, 1968). It is likely that the skewed arrangement of the rings in (I) which
reduces $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{Br}$ repulsions, also results in a decrease in the interplanar spacings in (I).

(I)

Molecules of (I) in the crystal are arranged in a herring-bone manner parallel to the $b c$ plane and perpendicular to the $a$ axis. The angle for the intramolecular $\mathrm{Br} \cdots \mathrm{Br}$ vectors situated between adjacent coplanar rows is $90.9(1)^{\circ}$. Adjacent pairs of molecules are skewed, with 'Bu groups on opposite sides and displaced by one ring so that only the two fused rings furthest from the ${ }^{\text {'Bu }}$ group are aligned on top of each other. The bond distance and angles within the anthracene rings in (I) and 9,10 -dibromoanthracene are similar.


Fig. 1. View of the major contributor to (I) ( $50 \%$ probability displacement ellipsoids). H atoms, represented by circles of arbitrary radii, are not labeled.

## Experimental

Crystals of (I) prepared as detailed previously (Lee \& Mendenhall, 1988) were obtained by slow crystallization from an ethanol solution.

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{Br}_{2}$
$M_{r}=392.14$
Orthorhombic
Pnma
$a=23.433$ (5) $\AA$
$b=7.018$ (4) $\AA$
$c=9.554$ (4) $\AA$
$V=1571.2(12) \AA^{3}$
$Z=4$
$D_{x}=1.658 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
$D_{m}$ not measured

## Mo $K \alpha$ radiation

$\lambda=0.71069 \AA$
Cell parameters from 25
reflections
$\theta=10.4-15.0^{\circ}$
$\mu=5.15 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=293$ (2) K
Prism
$0.22 \times 0.20 \times 0.08 \mathrm{~mm}$
Yellow

## Data collection

| Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 | 1183 reflections with |
| :--- | :--- |
| diffractometer | $I>2 \sigma(I)$ |
| Non-profiled $\omega$ scans | $\theta_{\max }=27.47^{\circ}$ |
| Absorption correction: | $h=0 \rightarrow 30$ |
| $\quad \psi$ scan (North et al., | $k=0 \rightarrow 9$ |
| 1968 ) | $l=0 \rightarrow 12$ |
| $T_{\min }=0.304, T_{\max }=0.674$ | 3 standard reflections |
| 1930 measured reflections | frequency: 60 min |
| 1930 independent reflections | intensity decay: $2 \%$ |

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R(F)=0.040$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.112$
$S=1.028$
1930 reflections
135 parameters
H atoms constrained
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{O}^{2}\right)+(0.0642 P)^{2}\right.$
$+0.26 P$ ]
where $P=\left[\operatorname{Max}\left(F_{o}^{2}, 0\right)\right.$
$\left.+2 F_{c}^{2}\right] / 3$

1183 reflections with
$I>2 \sigma(I)$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=27.47^{\circ}$
$h=0 \rightarrow 30$
$k=0 \rightarrow 9$
$l=0 \rightarrow 12$
3 standard reflections intensity decay: $2 \%$

Table 1. Selected geometric parameters $\left(\AA,{ }^{\circ}\right)$

| $\mathrm{Br} 1-\mathrm{C} 9$ | $1.905(5)$ | $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 9$ | $1.412(7)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Br} 2-\mathrm{C} 10$ | $1.903(5)$ | $\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 9 \mathrm{a}$ | $1.401(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{C} 10$ | $1.392(7)$ | $\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{C} 10 \mathrm{a}$ | $1.409(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 9 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{Brl}$ | $118.2(4)$ | $\mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{Br} 2$ | $118.6(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 8 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{Brl}$ | $119.0(4)$ | $\mathrm{C} 10 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{Br} 2$ | $118.8(4)$ |

A difference Fourier map clearly indicated two regions of electron density suggestive of disorder for with 'Bu group. C atoms were inserted and the sum of occupancies of the relevant $C$ atoms were constrained to unity and then refinement continued to convergence both on positions and occupancies.
Data collection: CAD-4 EXPRESS (Enraf-Nonius, 1994). Cell refinement: CAD-4 EXPRESS. Data reduction: XCAD4 (Harms \& Wocadlo, 1995). Program(s) used to solve structure: SIR97 (Altomare et al., 1999). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997). Molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 for Windows (Farrugia, 1997). Software used to prepare material for publication: WinGX (Farrugia, 1998).
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## Abstract

In the title complex, tert-butylammonium perchlorate-$2,5,8,11,14,17$-hexaoxatricyclo[16.8.0.0 $0^{20,25}$ ]hexacosa1(26), 18,20(25),21,23-pentaene-ethyl acetate-dichloromethane $(4 / 4 / 1 / 1), \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}^{+} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{6} \cdot \mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-} \cdot 0.25 \mathrm{C}_{4}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 0.25 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, the tert-butylammonium cation binds to the macrocyclic host (Chemical Abstracts name: 2,3,5,6,8,9,11,12,14,15-decahydro-1,4,7,10,13,16-hexa-oxanaphtho[2,3-b]cyclooctadecin) in the expected tripod arrangement, while the perchlorate anion links naphthyl groups in the crystal through $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{H}-$ $C$ interactions. Thermal motion analysis indicates that the tert-butylammonium group and the perchlorate anion each librate with respect to the host, with amplitudes of $6.2(4)$ and $11.4(2)^{\circ}$, respectively.

## Comment

The host in the title compound, (I), has been used as a standard in solution studies of structures of hosts and their complexing affinities; see, for example, Kyba et al. (1977) and Helgeson et al. (1979). The tert-butyl-
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